



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/532,693      | 01/03/2006  | Hiroaki Koyama       | CSP-115-A           | 8753             |

21828 7590 07/15/2008  
CARRIER BLACKMAN AND ASSOCIATES  
24101 NOVI ROAD  
SUITE 100  
NOVI, MI 48375

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

LIN, KUANG Y

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

1793

|                   |               |
|-------------------|---------------|
| NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-------------------|---------------|

07/15/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

cbalaw@gmail.com  
cbalaw@ameritech.net  
wblackman@ameritech.net

|                          |                                      |                                      |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/532,693 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>KOYAMA ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>Kuang Y. Lin      | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1793              |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kuang Y. Lin. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Petrik. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 07 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: claims 1 and 6.

Identification of prior art discussed: JP 2002-060,845 & JP 10-204,610.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attorney stated that the novelty of instant invention is in that none of the prior art references shows the claimed peening treatment which is performed for a time period ranging from 5 to 10 second. However, it is the position of the examiner that it would have been obvious to obtain the optimal peening time period, which depends on other process parameters, such as particle size, peening pressure etc., through routine experimentation.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kuang Y. Lin/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required